UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/709,231	04/22/2004	Joachim Lindqvist	07589.0163.NPUS01	1741	
	7590 03/29/2007 CE & QUIGG, LLP	EXAMINER			
1300 EYE STR SUITE 1000 W	EET NW	KASTLER, SCOTT R			
WASHINGTO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1742		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
31 D	AYS	03/29/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

			Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary			10/709,231 LINDQVIST ET AL.		ET AL.			
		Ì	Examiner	Art Unit				
			Scott Kastler	1742				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun	ication appe	ears on the cover shee	t with the corresponden	ce address			
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MINISTRICT IN THE MINISTRICT IS LONGER, FROM THE MINISTRICT IN T	AILING DA of 37 CFR 1.136 nunication. atutory period will will, by statute, of	TE OF THIS COMMU 6(a). In no event, however, ma Il apply and will expire SIX (6) No cause the application to become	NICATION. y a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of a BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 13	f this communication.			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on						
2a)			action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition	<i>,</i> —		natters, prosecution as	to the merits is			
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims	•						
4) 又	Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the a	pplication.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) <u> </u>								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> are subject to restriction	on and/or el	ection requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner						
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreian n	priority under 35 U.S.C	: 8 119(a)-(d) or (f)				
_	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
/.	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
•	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Intervie	w Summary (PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO-948)	Paper N	No(s)/Mail Date				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice 6) Other:	of Informal Patent Application	1			
,	- X-Y-1		3/ Galei	•	•			

Application/Control Number: 10/709,231

Art Unit: 1742

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-18, drawn to a method of heat treating a workpiece without contamination, classified in class 148, subclass 625.

II. Claims 19-40, drawn to a heating device for workpieces, classified in class 266, subclass 252.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus could be used in another, materially different process, such as heat treatments without the pressure reductions required by the claimed process.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of an invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically

Page 3

point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott Kastler whose telephone number is (571) 272-1243. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (571) 272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/709,231 Page 4

Art Unit: 1742

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Scott Kastler Primary Examiner Art Unit 1742

sk